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## Question addressed

- The survival in adult and older ages varies from place to place and could also vary depending the place of birth.
- The aim of this contribution is to estimated separately the effect of the place of birth and the place of residence controlling the mortality risk by sex, age and level of education


## Data used

- The whole Belgian population born before 1950 is followed in the National Population Register between the 1st January 1991 and the 31 December 2009.
- We consider 4,24 millions persons. Among these 1,55 millions died during the observation period. Every person is characterised by the place of birth and the place of residence on 1st January 1991 considering the 43 Belgian administrative 'arrondissement'.


## Method followed

We apply the survival analysis with outcome $=1$ (death) or 0 (survival till 2009).
The covariates included in the models are

- Sex, age (continuous) and level of education in all models
- In models 2, 3 and 4, Migration $=0$ (Not movers, born and living in the same arrondissement) $=1$ (internal movers, born and living in two different arrondissements). Foreign born are excluded.
- In models 2 and 4, Place of birth (arrondissement)
- In models 3 and 4, Place of residence in 1991 (arrondissement)


## Population studied:

 persons born before 1950 observed from 1 January 1991|  | LEVEL OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | Low | High | Total | \% High |
| Non movers | 590.802 | 598.482 | 1.189 .284 | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 \%}$ |
| Internal movers | 218.187 | 333.130 | 551.317 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 4 \%}$ |
| Foreign born | 121.485 | 79.053 | 200.538 | $\mathbf{3 9 , 4 \%}$ |
| Total | 930.474 | 1.010 .665 | 1.941 .139 | $\mathbf{5 2 , 1 \%}$ |
| Women | Low | High | Total |  |
| Non movers | 775.338 | 607.769 | 1.383 .107 | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 \%}$ |
| Internal movers | 324.926 | 360.263 | 685.189 | $\mathbf{5 2 , 6 \%}$ |
| Foreign born | 148.612 | 81.323 | 229.935 | $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 \%}$ |
| Total | 1.248 .876 | 1.049 .355 | 2.298 .231 | $\mathbf{4 5 , 7 \%}$ |

## Movers and non-movers by sex and level of education



|  | Model 1 (no place of birth, no place of residence) | Model 2a (only place of birth) | Model 2b (only place of residence) | Model 3 (with place of birth and place of residence) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (continuous) | 1.122 | 1.122 | 1.122 | 1.122 |
| Sexe (Male = reference) | 0.580 | 0.576 | 0.575 | 0.575 |
| Education (low = reference) | 0.799 | 0.789 | 0.791 | 0.789 |
| Change of arrondissement (Non-mover = reference) | 0.989 | 0.987 | 0.983 | 0.989 |
| Place of birth (Wald statistics) |  | 9,577 |  | 921 |
| Place of residence in 1991 (Wald Statistics) |  |  | 9,695 | 1,037 |
| -2LogLikelihood (initial value 46,293,403) | 43,870,155 | 43,860,721 | 43,860,605 | 43,859,685 |
| Pseudo R2 de Nagelkerke | 0.0523 | 0.0525 | 0.0526 | 0.0548 |

## HR to die by place of residence in 1991



## Average income 2008



Correlation between average income (2008) and odd ratios to die by place of residence in 1991


## HR to die by place of birth



## Infant mortality rates in 1898-1900



Correlation between infant mortality rates (18981900) and odd ratios to die by place of birth


|  | Place of birth | Infant Mortality Rate 1898-1900 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VEURNE | 0,917 | 248 |
| EECKLOO | 0,918 | 175 |
| TIELT | 0,920 | 171 |
| DIXMUIDE | 0,922 | 242 |
| OSTENDE | 0,932 | 286 |
| ROESELAERE | 0,936 | 247 |
| BRUGGE | 0,941 | 194 |
| IEPER | 0,947 | 225 |
| ST NICOLAAS | 0,948 | 243 |
| KORTRIJK | 0,950 | 218 |
| OUDENAARDE | 0,951 | 138 |
| MAASEIK | 0,958 | 160 |
| GENT | 0,963 | 228 |
| VIRTON | 0,965 | 112 |
| MECHELEN | 0,966 | 150 |
| TONGEREN | 0,967 | 142 |
| HASSELT | 0,974 | 141 |
| TURNHOUT | 0,979 | 139 |
| MOUSCRON | 0,983 | 221 |
| NEUFCHATEAU | 0,987 | 119 |
| ANTWERPEN | 0,991 | 186 |
| LEUVEN | 0,993 | 128 |
| BASTOGNE | 0,995 | 137 |
| ALOST | 0,996 | 146 |


|  | Place of birth | Infant Mortality Rate 1898-1900 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VERVIERS | 1,001 | 145 |
| DENDERMONDE | 1,005 | 201 |
| MARCHE | 1,005 | 115 |
| FLEMISH BRABANT | 1,006 | 128 |
| BRUSSELS | 1,015 | 168 |
| WAREMME | 1,017 | 113 |
| ATH | 1,018 | 100 |
| SOIGNIES | 1,022 | 120 |
| PHILIPPEVILLE | 1,044 | 100 |
| DINANT | 1,046 | 110 |
| LIEGE | 1,054 | 136 |
| HUY | 1,054 | 106 |
| THUIN | 1,062 | 124 |
| BRABANT WALLON | 1,065 | 124 |
| NAMUR | 1,069 | 124 |
| TOURNAI | 1,072 | 139 |
| ARLON | 1,087 | 150 |
| CHARLEROI | 1,096 | 150 |
| MONS | 1,108 | 115 |

## Discussion

- The impact of the place of residence has been largely studied and the spatial pattern of mortality by 'arrondissement' is well known.
- The impact of the place of birth is a new finding that do not support the positive relation between better early life conditions and longer survival found by numerous authors.
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